

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CARL A COURTRIGHT, III,

Defendant.

No. 07-CR-30179-DRH

ORDER

HERNDON, Chief Judge:

Before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Continue Sentencing (**Doc.107**). Defendant filed these Motions *pro se* despite being represented by counsel. A *pro se* motion filed while the movant is represented by counsel, may be stricken as improper. *See, e.g., United States v. Gwiazdzinski*, 141 F.3d 784, 787 (7th Cir. 1998). The record does not show that Defendant's attorney moved to withdraw his representation as required by Local Rule 83.1(f). Accordingly, Defendant's Motion to Continue Sentencing is hereby **STRICKEN** from the record as being improperly filed, *pro se*, while Defendant is represented by counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 10th day of July, 2009.

/s/ David R. Herndon

**Chief Judge
United States District Court**